```
Garrick S. Lew SBN 61889
 1
    Law Offices of Garrick S. Lew & Associates
    600 Townsend Street, Suite 329E
    San Francisco, CA 94103-4957
 3
    Telephone:
                  (415) 575-3588
    Facsimile:
                  (415) 522-1506
4
    email:
                  gsl@defendergroup.com
 5
    Attorneys for Defendant Johnson Mai
 6
 7
 8
                               UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 9
                        FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10
11
                                                 No: 3-06-70479 MAG
    UNITED STATES,
           Plaintiff
12
    JOHNSON MAI,
                                                 [AMENDED PROPOSED] ORDER AND
13
                                                 STIPULATION FOR CONTINUANCE
           a/k/a Zhi Xiong Mai
                                                 FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2007 TO
14
           a/k/a Uncle Hong,
                                                 NOVEMBER 2, 2007 AND EXCLUDING
           a/k/a Chi Hong Mak,
                                                 TIME FROM THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
15
                                                 CALCULATION (18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A) AND WAIVING TIME LIMITS UNDER
           a/k/a Hong Suk;
    LISA LEE,
16
                                                 RULE 5.1
           a/k/a Xiao Ling Li;
17
    KAI LUN ZHENG,
           a/k/a Wai Keung Cheung,
18
           a/k/a Su Ming,
           a/k/a Alan Zheng;
19
    ZHI EN HUANG,
20
           a/k/a Gao Lo;
    DAVID YUEN,
21
           a/k/a Lo Wu,
           a/k/a Wu So Gor; and
22
    ERIC YU HENG CAI
23
           Defendants.
24
25
26
           With the agreement of the parties, and with the consent of the defendants, the Court enters this
27
    order scheduling a status conference on November 2, 2007 at 9:30 a.m. before duty magistrate judge
28
```

Document 119

Filed 09/13/2007

Page 1 of 4

Case 3:08-cr-00268-VRW

- 1. The case is very complex and involves international transactions and shipments, foreign banks and complex monetary transactions, extensive wiretap evidence and conversations in different chinese language dialects. There are multiple defendants and discovery is voluminous. All defense counsel involved are in need of additional time to prepare the case. Furthermore, the government and defense counsel are actively involved in the process of global settlement discussions to resolve all pending charges involving all defendants before the court and additional time is necessary to seek approval of proposed plea agreements with the government.
- 2. All defendants agree to an exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(ii) on the basis of complexity and (iv) continuity of counsel for effective preparation taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
- 3. The defendants waive the time limits of Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 for preliminary hearing.
- 4. Accordingly, and with the consent of all parties, the Court (1) alternatively sets a preliminary hearing before the duty magistrate judge on November 2, 2007 at 9:30 a.m. and (2) orders that the period from September 14,2007 to November 2, 2007 be excluded from the time period for preliminary hearings under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and from Speedy Trial Act calculations under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).

IT IS SO STIPULATED:

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25	DATED: September 13, 2007	<u>/s/</u> Garrick Lew
26	_	GARRICK LEW Attorney for Defendant Johnson Mai
27	DATED: September 13, 2007	/s/ Alice Wong
28		ALICE WONG Attorney for Defendant Lisa Lee

1	DATED G : 1 12 2007	Gil Eisenberg_		
2	GIL I	EISENBERG rney for Defendant Kai Lun Zheng		
3	DATED: September 13, 2007 /s/	Brian Getz		
4	BRIA	AN GETZ rney for Zhi En Huang		
5		,		
6	$\overline{STU}$	Stuart Hanlon ART HANLON		
7		ney for David Yuen		
8	RAN	Randy Montesano DY MONTESANO		
9	Attor	mey for Eric Cai		
10		Thomas Mazzucco MAS MAZZUCCO		
11	Assis	stant United States Attorney		
12				
13	IT IS SO ORDERED.			
14	DATED:			
15		ORABLE ELIZABETH D. LAPORT ed States Magistrate Judge		
16				
17				
18				
19				
20	(B) The factors, among others, whi	ş Ç		
21	determining whether to grant a continuance under subparagraph (A) of this paragraph in any case are as follows:			
22	proceeding would be likely to make a	(i) Whether the failure to grant such a continuance in the proceeding would be likely to make a continuation of such		
23	proceeding would be fixely to flake a continuation of such proceeding impossible, or result in a miscarriage of justice.  (ii) Whether the case is so unusual or so complex, due to the number of defendants, the nature of the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact or law, that it is unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or for the trial itself within the time limits			
24				
25				
26				
27	Stipulation and Proposed Order for Continuance			
28	[3-06-70479] [MAG]			

Case 3:08-cr-00268-VRW Document 119 Filed 09/13/2007 Page 3 of 4

established by this section.

- (iii) Whether, in a case in which arrest precedes indictment, delay in the filing of the indictment is caused because the arrest occurs at a time such that it is unreasonable to expect return and filing of the indictment within the period specified in section 3161(b), or because the facts upon which the grand jury must base its determination are unusual or complex.
- (iv) Whether the failure to grant such a continuance in a case which, taken as a whole, is not so unusual or so complex as to fall within clause (ii), would deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, would unreasonably deny the defendant or the Government continuity of counsel, or would deny counsel for the defendant or the attorney for the Government the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

Stipulation and Proposed Order for Continuance [3-06-70479] [MAG]